

(1) <u>Long V. Le and Unsu Jung</u> .	(3)Christopher Buntel.
(2) Joel Silver.	(4) Joe Treadway. De la de
Date of Interview: 7/26/2007	
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☒ Personal [copy given to: 1)☒ applicant	2) applicant's representative]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.
Claim(s) discussed: All of record.	
Identification of prior art discussed: All of record.	
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached.	g)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Applicant's amendment, which include the cationic polymer consisting of 5 to 25 contiguous Lys and/or Arg will be considered in the Office Action in response to the reply filed on May 30, 2007. Frankel et al., which was relied on for the cationic polymer having 5 to 25 contiguous Lys and/or Arg, does not disclose cationic polymer consisting of Lys and/or Arg. Further consideration and search will be given in the next Office Action.</u>

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required